

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/755,863		01/05/2001	Marise Chan	60001.0005US01	60001.0005US01 3683	
27488	7590	04/25/2006		EXAMINER		
MERCHAI	NT & G(OULD (MICROSOI	VAUGHN, GREGORY J			
	P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2178		
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/755,863	CHAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gregory J. Vaughn	2178 -		

	Gregory 3. Vaugini	2170	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		in the final rejection wh	iohavaria latar In
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring in ally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			tne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of imally reg	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) chiected to:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	Allendaria and Allendaria and Allinon and Al	ation of Appeal will be	t he entered
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidar	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s).	
		CTEDUEN H	ONG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The cited prior art of record renders applicants claimed invention unpatentable.

In response to applicant's remarks (page 2, first and second paragraphs, of the response filed 4/5/2006) related to the rejection of claim 23 made under 35 USC 112 first paragraph (as stated on page 3 of the office action dated 1/11/2006), the examiner does not find applicant's arguments persuasive.

The current amendment of claim 23 is directed toward: "applying the determined formatting characteristics populated to the find dialog to at least one other cell by selecting the other cell." Applicant's response indicates that support for the claim amendments can be found on page 16, lines 9-16 of the originally filed specification. This section of the specification is directed toward describing the "Results List" feature of the "Find" aspect of the invention. The "Results List" feature is described as "provides an overview of all of the places within the work sheet or workbook where a particular piece of text and/or formatting is found" (page 15, lines 20-21, of the originally filed specification). The section of the specification cited by the applicant on page 16 talks about how the result list entries are links to the respective cells, and how clicking on the link changes the display focus to the respective cell. This section also talks about multiple result list entries, and clicking the replace tab to apply formatting or replace text. However, clicking on entries in a result list, or selecting multiple entries in a result list, or clicking on the replace tab is not the same as "selecting the other cell". The examiner's rejection of claim 23, made under 35 USC 112 first paragraph, is maintained.

Regarding claim 2, applicant argues that: "Underdahl does not determine formatting characteristics of the selected cell and apply those formatting characteristics to fields in a dialog box" (page 3, last paragraphs, of the response filed 4/5/2006). The examiner does not find applicant's arguments persuasive.

In response to applicant's remarks, the examiner directs the applicant to the quote of Underdahl cited by applicant on page 3 of the response filed 4/5/2006, wherein Underdahl states: "If no numeric format has been assigned, the default General format is checked." This section of Underdahl is directed toward applying formats and building spreadsheets, where the examples show how to apply formatting where there was none previously. However, Underdahl says "if no format has been assigned" implies that if formatting had been assigned, the related format assignment information would be displayed in the dialog box. Underdahl supports this implication in the subsequent statement "if no format is assigned, the default format is checked" which clearly demonstrates that whatever formatting is assigned to the cell, that formatting information is determined and shown in the dialog box.